

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

MOTION

17 Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court appoint counsel to represent them. This action
18 seeks relief under federal statutes protecting civil rights. This is not, however, an employment
19 discrimination action brought under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §2000e et seq.

FINANCIAL AFFIDAVIT

21 This Court has granted Plaintiff leave to proceed in forma pauperis (Dkt 8).

PREVIOUS EFFORTS TO RETAIN AN ATTORNEY

24 Plaintiff George Parker has contacted multiple attorneys in the Seattle area. I lost count of how many I
25 contacted because they all gave me one of 4 answers;

26 1. They do not litigate Federal cases and warned me that finding someone who would will be
27 difficult at best.

2. They will not pick up a case that has already been started by someone else including Pro Se
also warning me that finding someone who would will be difficult at best.

3. They require a \$200 fee to even look at the case. If they are willing to take it, they require a \$10,000 deposit to even begin litigating.

4. The phone person took my information and a few details about the case but never called me back with an answer either way.

None were willing to take it on a contingency fee basis, many of them told me that such fees are usually reserved for personal injury cases.

MERITS OF CLAIM

The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, the Washington State Human Rights Commission, or other state or federal agency officially determined whether there is reasonable cause to believe that the allegations of your complaint are true? No. If so, please identify the agency which made the finding, and the conclusion the agency reached. _____

Dated: November 7th, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

George D. Palmer II

George DC Parker II, and

Lori A. Parker

Lori A Parker